Interview Summary	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)		
	10/812,670		ANDERSON, JOHN WILLIAM CRISMAN		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Lam P. Pham		2636		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Lam P. Pham</u> .					
(2) <u>Jeffrey Haeberlin</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>03 October 2005</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>NA</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner conducted an examiner amendment relating to Cross Reference section of the Specification claiming continuation of US application 10/086475 now abandoned.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims					
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an					
Attachment to a signed Office action.	E	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	1	